

33

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

M.C.C.74 of 2007

APPLICANTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Technical Education and Manpower Planning, DKS Building, Mantralaya, Raipur(CG)
2. Director, Directorate of Employment and Training, Chhattisgarh, Raipur.
3. Joint Director, Directorate of Employment and Training, Chhattisgarh, Raipur.
4. Joint Director(Enquiry Officer), ITI Zone, Bilaspur(CG).

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

M.F.Ansari aged about 41 years, s/o Late Shri M.H.Ansari, presently posted as Principal(Grade-II), Industrial Training Institute, Jagadalpur, District-Bastar(CG).

Appearance:

Shri G.K.Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General for the State/ applicants.

ORAL ORDER
(16.03.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

By way of this Misc. Civil Case, the State and the concerned authorities of the State have prayed for extension of time, ^{of 2} about 2 years w.e.f. 1.10.2005 to comply with the order dated 20.1.2005, passed by this Court.

M.C.C.74/2007

Record perused.

It appears that on 20.1.2005, this Court had directed in W.P.No.2689/2004 that the petitioner shall file a copy of order dated 20.1.2005 alongwith the copy of writ petition No.2689/2004 before the disciplinary/enquiry officer within a period of 15 days from the said date and on such documents being filed, the said authority shall dispose of the departmental enquiry proceeding of the petitioner as early as possible preferably within a period of eight months from the said date of production of the order.

A perusal of the earlier order would show that the departmental enquiry was initiated against the petitioner on 9.6.2000 and the petitioner had filed his reply on 14.7.2000 (Annexure P-3 in the writ petition) and from the said date, the enquiry was pending and looking to the above situation, in a petition filed for quashment of the enquiry, the aforesaid order was passed by this Court.

In view of the above, it appears that the petitioners are unnecessarily delaying the enquiry and now, they have come to this Court by filing this application on 12.2.2007 that 2 years time w.e.f. 1.10.2005 i.e. the date of completion of the ^{in period of 2} earlier order, be given to them.

I do not find any reason to extend the period in the prevailing facts and circumstances of the case.

This application for extension of time is dismissed.

The M.C.C. stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge